

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,494	08/22/2003	Tetsutaro Inoue	0020-5168P	8755
2292	7590 09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
	WART KOLASCH &	RESAN, STEVAN A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,			1773	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	—— <i>[</i> }				
Office Action Summary		10/645,494	INOUE ET AL.	,				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Stevan A. Resan	1773					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			s				
Period for	or Reply		•					
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.							
- / د	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.				
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).					
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>		on No					
	<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>	• •		10				
	application from the International Bureau	•	n in this National Stay	, <del>C</del>				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' '	ed.					
	•							
Attachmen	nt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	)				
0.0-1117	Endemark Office							

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Aonuma US 4253886 for the reasons of record.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Matsubaguchi et al DE 19752953 for the reasons of record.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsubaguchi et al DE 19752953 in view of any one of Masafumi et al JP 05-081649, US 4076890 or US 4369076 for the reasons of record.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 18 July 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants apparently argue against the rejections based upon anticipation by relying upon a new limitation. However this limitation is directed to a process step. A process step in an article claim can be given no weight unless it has been demonstrated to produce a patentably distinct article. This has not been done.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re* 

Application/Control Number: 10/645,494 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the examiner has provided motivation i.e. to improve corrosion resistance of the magnetic particles to prevent property deteriorization. Applicants have not responded with a side by side comparison demonstrating any unexpected improvements.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is 571-272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Thurs from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached at 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/645,494 Page 4

**Art Unit: 1773** 

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVAN A. RESÂN PRIMARY EXAMINER